



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/844,581	04/26/2001	Carl A. Waldspurger	Vmwarel l	1803
75	90 06/01/2005		EXAM	INER
JEFFREY PEARCE			ALI, SYED J	
3145 PORTER PALO ALTO,	: -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
THEO HETO,	0.1. 3.301		2195	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>}</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/844,581	WALDSPURGER ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Syed J. Ali pears on the cover s	2195 heet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howeve ly within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed  um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 March 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 March 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified cop	ies not received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050523				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the amendment filed March 16, 2005. Claims
 1-32 are presented for examination.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this office action can be found in a prior office action.

# Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 16 and 27 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a. In line 2 of claim 16, there is no need for a comma preceding "non-defeatable".
  - b. In line 9 of claim 27, there should be a comma after "buffer".

    Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. Claims 1-3, 9-11, and 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cota-Robles et al. (US 2002/0143842) (hereinafter Cota-Robles) in view of Mueller et al. (USPN 6,584,612) (hereinafter Mueller).
- As per claim 1, Cota-Robles teaches the invention as claimed, including a method for controlling input/output [I/O] operations of a user's computer comprising the following steps:

Art Unit: 2195

implementing the user's computer as a virtual machine [VM] (paragraphs 0019-0020);

including a virtual machine monitor [VMM] as a VM-transparent interface between the VM and a physical computer system that includes at least one device (paragraphs 0019-0020);

in the VMM:

sensing a request for an I/O operation between the VM and the device (paragraphs 0027, 0029, 0042, 0047);

performing a transformation of I/O data passing between the VM and the device (paragraphs 0015, 0027, 0047);

the transformation of the I/O data thereby being undefeatable by any user action via the VM (paragraphs 0025, 0027, 0029, 0047).

- 6. Mueller teaches the invention as claimed, including a data transformation being adjunct to necessary completion of an I/O request (col. 3 lines 43-45, 54-65; col. 6 lines 58-67).
- 7. Cota-Robles discusses application of data transformation to every I/O request issued by the virtual machine. This step allows the soft device to interact with hardware of the physical machine. Mueller discusses additional features of virtual machines that need improvement, i.e. a fast way of loading resources in the form of images, bitmaps, etc., without modification of application code. A specialized class loader for particular resources embeds specific data in a user display in a manner that is hidden from the user. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Cota-Robles and Mueller since a specialized class loader would provide many benefits,

such as allowing client to quickly load resources without interfering with other applications. Alternatively, it could be implemented in a corporate intranet, such that a system administrator can easily control the satellite workstation without risk of the user circumventing the deployment of data.

8. As per claim 2, Mueller teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 1, in which:

the device is a display (paragraph 0015);

the I/O data is VM display data output from the VM and intended for display (paragraphs 0015, 0027, 0029, 0042, 0047); and

the transformation is a replacement of at least a portion of the VM display data with non-defeatable display data stored external to the VM but accessible to the VMM (paragraphs 0015, 0027, 0047);

further including the step of displaying the VM display data with the non-defeatable display data overlaid (paragraphs 0015, 0025, 0027, 0029, 0047).

9. As per claim 3, Cota-Robles teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 1, further including the following steps:

filtering the I/O data with respect to at least one filtering condition (paragraphs 0027, 0029, 0042, 0047); and

performing the transformation of the I/O data only when the filtering condition is met (paragraphs 0027, 0029, 0042, 0047).

- 10. As per claim 9, Cota-Robles teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 1, in which the transformation comprises insertion into the I/O data of a source indication associated with the VM (paragraphs 0027, 0029, 0042, 0047).
- 11. As per claim 10, Cota-Robles teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 1, in which the transformation is time-varying (paragraphs 0015, 0023).
- 12. As per claim 11, Cota-Robles teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 1, in which the device is a network connection device (paragraphs 0015, 0023).
- 13. As per claim 25, Cota-Robles teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 1, in which:

the VM supports a plurality of I/O modes (paragraphs 0015, 0023);

the step of filtering is performed on I/O data corresponding to a first one of the plurality of I/O modes (paragraphs 0027, 0029, 0042, 0047); and

the transformation is applied to I/O data in a second one of the I/O modes when the I/O data in the first I/O mode satisfies a transformation-triggering criterion (paragraphs 0015, 0027, 0029, 0042, 0047).

- 14. As per claim 26, Cota-Robles teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 25, in which the I/O modes include a video mode and an audio mode (paragraphs 0015, 0023).
- 15. As per claim 27, Cota-Robles teaches the invention as claimed, including a method for controlling input/output (I/O) of a user's computer comprising the following steps:

implementing the user's computer as a virtual machine [VM] (paragraphs 0019-0020);

including a virtual machine monitor [VMM] as a VM-transparent interface between the VM and a physical computer system that includes at least one device that carries out an I/O operation on the basis of device control data (paragraphs 0019-0020, 0027, 0029, 0042, 0047);

storing the device control data associated with the VM in a buffer in the VMM (paragraphs 0019-0020, 0027, 0029, 0042, 0047);

upon sensing a transformation command from an administrative system external to the VM, entering replacement data into at least a portion of the buffer (paragraphs 0027, 0029, 0042, 0047);

the entry of the replacement data thereby being undefeatable by any user action via the VM (paragraphs 0025, 0027, 0029, 0047).

16. Mueller teaches the invention as claimed, including the replacement data entry being adjunct to necessary completion of an I/O request (col. 3 lines 43-45, 54-65; col. 6 lines 58-67).

Art Unit: 2195

Page 7

17. As per claim 28, Cota-Robles teaches the invention as claimed, including a system for controlling input/output [I/O] operations of a user's computer, comprising:

a virtual machine [VM] constituting the user's computer (paragraphs 0019-0020);

a virtual machine monitor [VMM] forming a VM-transparent interface between the VM and a physical computer system that includes at least one device (paragraphs 0019-0020);

the VMM including means:

for sensing a request for an I/O operation between the VM and the device (paragraphs 0027, 0029, 0042, 0047); and

for performing a transformation of I/O data passing between the VM and the device (paragraphs 0015, 0027, 0047);

the transformation of the I/O data thereby being undefeatable by any user action via the VM (paragraphs 0025, 0027, 0029, 0047).

- 18. Mueller teaches the invention as claimed, including a data transformation being adjunct to necessary completion of an I/O request (col. 3 lines 43-45, 54-65; col. 6 lines 58-67).
- 19. As per claim 29, Cota-Robles teaches the invention as claimed, including a system as in claim 28, in which the device is a display and the I/O data is VM display data (paragraph 0015).

20. As per claim 30, Cota-Robles teaches the invention as claimed, including a system as in claim 29, further comprising:

a display buffer within the VMM for storing the VM display data that is output from the VM and is intended for display (paragraph 0015, 0027, 0029, 0042, 0047); and

transformation means located within the VMM for replacing at least a portion of the VM display data stored in the display buffer with non-defeatable display data (paragraph 0015, 0027, 0029, 0042, 0047);

in which the display is provided for displaying the contents of the display buffer (paragraphs 0015, 0023, 0027, 0042, 0047).

- As per claim 31, Cota-Robles teaches the invention as claimed, including a system as in claim 28, in which the device is a data storage device (paragraphs 0015, 0023).
- 22. As per claim 32, Cota-Robles teaches the invention as claimed, including a system as in claim 28, in which the device is a network connection device (paragraphs 0015, 0023).
- Claims 4-5, 8, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cota-Robles in view of Mueller in view of O'Neil et al. (USPN 5,987,440) (hereinafter O'Neil).

- As per claim 4, O'Neil teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 3, in which the filtering condition is that the I/O data includes at least one restricted term (Abstract, col. 56 lines 5-40; col. 57 line 60 col. 58 line 63).
- 25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Cota-Robles and Mueller with O'Neil since Cota-Robles, while presenting a method of representing a processing device in a virtual machine to control input and output, does not present specific types of input/output devices, or how certain features therein would be implemented. Modern computing is embodied within a networked environment to the point where it is nearly commonplace. With this advent in computing, protecting the integrity of data is of utmost importance. O'Neil provides a method of protecting information security within a virtual private network, or other type of network, such that personal data or other sensitive data can be trusted and more securely transferred.
- As per claim 5, O'Neil teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in which the filtering condition is that the I/O data is from a restricted source (Abstract, col. 56 lines 5-40; col. 57 line 60 col. 58 line 63).
- 27. As per claim 8, O'Neil teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 3, in which the filtering condition is the presence in the I/O data of a copy protection indication (Abstract, col. 56 lines 5-40; col. 57 line 60 col. 58 line 63).
- 28. As per claim 21, O'Neil teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 1, in which:

the device is a network connection device (Abstract, col. 56 lines 5-40; col. 57 line 60 - col. 58 line 63);

the requested I/O operation is a transfer of data between the VM and the network connection device (Abstract, col. 56 lines 5-40; col. 57 line 60 - col. 58 line 63); and

the step of performing the transformation comprises changing at least a portion of the data during the transfer between the VM and the network connection device (Abstract, col. 56 lines 5-40; col. 57 line 60 - col. 58 line 63).

- As per claim 22, O'Neil teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 21, in which the step of performing the transformation of the I/O data comprises encrypting data written by the VM to the network connection device and decrypting data read from the network connection device by the VM (Abstract, col. 56 lines 5-40; col. 57 line 60 col. 58 line 63).
- 30. As per claim 23, O'Neil teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 21, in which the step of performing the transformation of the I/O data comprises compressing data written by the VM to the network connection device and decompressing data read from the network connection device by the VM (Abstract, col. 56 lines 5-40; col. 57 line 60 col. 58 line 63).

- 31. As per claim 24, O'Neil teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 1, in which the step of performing the transformation of the I/O data comprises cryptographic transformation of the I/O data (Abstract, col. 56 lines 5-40; col. 57 line 60 col. 58 line 63).
- 32. Claims 6-7 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cota-Robles in view of Mueller in view of Pasieka (USPN 6,587,945).
- 33. As per claim 6, Pasieka teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 3, in which:

the I/O data includes image data (col. 4 line 58 - col. 5 line 17);

the step of filtering the I/O data comprises detecting the presence of a representation of a target image within the image data (col. 4 line 58 - col. 5 line 17); and

the transformation is substitution of a representation of a replacement image in place of the representation of the target image (col. 4 line 58 - col. 5 line 17).

Art Unit: 2195

34. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Cota-

Page 12

Robles and Mueller with Pasieka since Cota-Robles, while presenting a method of

representing a processing device in a virtual machine to control input and output, does

not present specific types of input/output devices, or how certain features therein would

be implemented. In systems that utilize virtual machines, Internet applications or other

network computing is very common. Along with this type of processing comes a transfer

of image data or other display data. While Cota-Robles mentions this type of

input/output briefly, it does not specifically address how the transmission of these images

would be protected. Pasieka provides such a method of digitally signing an image before

it is transferred. such that the origin and integrity of a document or image can be verified

before it is displayed on a user's screen.

35. As per claim 7, Pasieka teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in

claim 6, in which:

the I/O data is in a non-character image format (col. 4 line 58 - col. 5 line 17);

the target image is a representation of a restricted character string (col. 4 line 58 -

col. 5 line 17); and

the step of filtering the I/O data comprises applying character recognition to the

I/O data (col. 4 line 58 - col. 5 line 17).

36. As per claim 15, Pasieka teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as

in claim 1, in which:

the device is a display (col. 4 line 58 - col. 5 line 17);

the display renders data stored in a display map (col. 4 line 58 - col. 5 line 17); and

the step of performing the transformation comprises altering a selected portion of the display map (col. 4 line 58 - col. 5 line 17).

- 37. As per claim 16, Pasieka teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 15, in which the step of altering the selected portion of the display data comprises substituting non-defeatable display data for the selected portion (col. 4 line 58 col. 5 line 17).
- 38. As per claim 17, Pasieka teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 15, in which the step of altering the selected portion of the display data comprises changing all occurrences in the display map of a display color to a replacement color (col. 6 lines 15-54).
- 39. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cota-Robles in view of Mueller in view of Narlikar et al. (US 2002/0069241).
- 40. As per claim 12, Narlikar teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 11, in which the transformation is a bandwidth limiting of the I/O data being transferred between the VM and the network connection device (Abstract, paragraphs 0003-0005, 0012, 0019).

- Al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Cota-Robles and Mueller with Narlikar since in a networked computing environment, processing bottlenecks can lead to loss of data, inconsistent processing, or other failures. This particular type of input/output processing must be accounted for in a network environment. Often, such issues are handled by proxy servers that distribute loads evenly among servers, such that one node does not handle an excessive amount of requests. Pasieka provides such a proxy method, wherein if a request is directed to a heavily loaded node, the request is redirected to a proxy server, which determines the best way to distribute that request. Thus, processing throughput can be improved giving rise to more reliable and efficient processing.
- 42. As per claim 13, Narlikar teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 11, in which:

the requested I/O operation is a transfer of the I/O data between the VM and the network connection device (Abstract, paragraphs 0003-0005, 0012, 0019); and

the transformation is a time delay of the transfer (Abstract, paragraphs 0003-0005, 0012, 0019).

43. As per claim 14, Narlikar teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 11, in which:

the requested I/O operation is a transfer of the I/O data from the VM to a first destination address via the network connection device (Abstract, paragraphs 0003-0005, 0012, 0019);

Page 15

the transformation is a redirection of the I/O data to a second destination address different from the first (Abstract, paragraphs 0003-0005, 0012, 0019).

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cota-Robles in view of Mueller in view of Samar (US 2002/0078049).

45. As per claim 18, Samar teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 1, in which:

the device is a data storage device (Abstract, 0029, 0030, 0040, 0041);

the requested I/O operation is a transfer of data between the VM and the storage device (Abstract, 0029, 0030, 0040, 0041); and

the step of performing the transformation comprises changing at least a portion of the data during the transfer between the VM and the storage device (Abstract, 0029, 0030, 0040, 0041).

46. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Cota-Robles and Mueller with Samar since Cota-Robles, while presenting a method of representing a processing device in a virtual machine to control input and output, does not present specific types of input/output devices, or how certain features therein would be implemented. Modern computing utilizes data stores and databases to store sensitive data. With this advent in computing, protecting the integrity of the data is of utmost importance. Samar provides a method of determining if data that is to be stored is of a sensitive nature, and if so, encrypting the data such that it can be protected against unauthorized access.

- As per claim 19, Samar teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 18, in which the step of performing the transformation of the I/O data comprises encrypting data written by the VM to the data storage device and decrypting data read from the data storage device by the VM (Abstract, 0029, 0030, 0040, 0041).
- As per claim 20, Samar teaches the invention as claimed, including a method as in claim 18, in which the step of performing the transformation of the I/O data comprises compressing data written by the VM to the data storage device and decompressing data read from the data storage device by the VM (Abstract, 0029, 0030, 0040, 0041).

## Response to Arguments

49 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

{

Art Unit: 2195

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Syed J Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3769.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-5:30, 2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai T An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Syed Ali

May 23, 2005

weng-al t. an

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 17

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100